1	Craig K. Perry		
2	Nevada Bar # 3786 CRAIG K. PERRY & ASSOCIATES		
3	8010 W. Sahara Avenue, Suite 260		
4	Las Vegas, Nevada 89117 Phone: (702) 228-4777		
5	Fax: (702) 943-7520		
6	E-mail: info@1stoplawfirm.com Attorney for Plaintiff		
7	TIMETED OF A TEC DISTRICT COLUMN		
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
8	Kirby Spencer,)	CASE NO.
9)	CASE IVO.
10	Plaintiff,)	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES FOR
11	vs.	į́	VIOLATION OF THE TELEPHONE
12	Kohl's Department Stores, Inc., a foreign)	CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT, 47 U.S.C. § 227 ET. SEQ.
13	corporation, doing business in Nevada,	j	
14	Defendant)	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
15)	
16	COMPLAINT		
17	COMES NOW Spencer Kirby (hereafter referred to as "Plaintiff"), by and through his attorney,		
18	Craig K. Perry, Esq. of the law firm of Craig K. Perry & Associates, and alleges the following against		
19 20	Kohl's Department Stores, Inc. (hereafter referred to as Defendant "Kohl's").		
21	INTRODUCTION		
22			
23	1. Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C.		
24	§ 227 et seq. (hereafter referred to as "TCPA").		
25	JURISDICTION AND VENUE		
26	2. Jurisdiction of this Court arises pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(g)(2), and 28 U.S.C. § 1331		
27	grants this court original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the laws of the United States.		
28			
= 8 ·			

- 3. Kohl's conducts business in the State of Nevada and therefore, personal jurisdiction is established.
- 4. Venue in this District is proper pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(e)(6)(E)(i), which incorporates by reference 28 U.S.C. § 1391, of which the following subsections apply: (b)(2), because a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claim arose in Nevada, and (c)(1), because Plaintiff is a resident of the state of Nevada.
 - 5. Declaratory relief is available pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.

PARTIES

- 6. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Henderson, Nevada.
- 7. Plaintiff is both a "person" and "called party" as those terms are used or defined in 47 U.S.C. § 227.
- 8. Kohl's is a Wisconsin corporation doing business in Nevada and for whom a principal purpose of its business is telecommunications.
- 9. Kohl's is properly referred to as both a "person" and a "caller" as those terms are used or defined in 47 U.S.C. § 227.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 10. Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges, and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 10 inclusive, above.
- 11. Beginning on or about Wednesday, June 18, 2014, Plaintiff began receiving robocalls from Kohl's to his cell phone number. Upon information and belief, Kohl's, on at least 113 occasions, by and through its employees, agents or assigns, contacted or attempted to contact the Plaintiff's cellular telephone service. (See Exhibit 1). A robocall is any call made using an automatic telephone dialing system (*LCCA/er v.* CashCalf. 554 F. Supp.2d 1025 (N.D. Cal. 2008). These calls violate the

Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227. Robocalls are not permitted when there is neither (1) an established business relationship (see § 227(a)(2) nor (2) prior express consent (see § 227(b)(1)(A)).

- 12. Kohl's does not have an established business relationship with Plaintiff.
- 13. Kohl's did not have the prior express consent of the Plaintiff before making the calls to Plaintiff.
- 14. On August 13, 2014, Plaintiff had his attorney write a letter to Kohl's, concerning the problem, attached hereto as Exhibit 2. As of the date of the filing of this Complaint, this letter never received an answer.
- 15. It has been necessary for Plaintiff to obtain the services of an attorney to pursue this claim, and Plaintiff is entitled to recover reasonable attorney's fees therefor.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT

- 16. Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges, and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 15 inclusive, above.
- 17. Section 227(b)(3)(A) of the TCPA authorizes a private cause of action for a person or entity to bring in an appropriate court of that state "an action based on a violation of this subsection or the regulations prescribed under this subsection to enjoin such violation."
- 18. Section 227(b)(3)(B) of the Act authorizes a private cause of action for a person or entity to bring in an appropriate court of that state "an action to recover for actual monetary loss from such a violation, or to receive \$500 in damages for each such violation, whichever is greater."
 - 19. Despite the fact that Plaintiff never consented to Kohl's making calls to his cellular

1

telephone, Kohl's repeatedly placed these non-emergency calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone without Plaintiff's consent.

- 20. The act also authorizes the Court, in its discretion, to award up to three (3) times the actual damages sustained for violations when they are done "willfully and knowingly."
- 21. Here, upon information and belief, Kohl's repeatedly and regularly sent nonemergency, automated calls to the Plaintiff's cellular telephone after Plaintiff repeatedly contacted Kohl's in an effort to prevent further violations from occurring.
- 22. Kohl's did not have Plaintiff's express consent prior to contacting him on his cellular telephone using an automatic telephone dialing system or pre-recorded or artificial voice.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays that this Court grant the following relief in Plaintiff's favor and that a judgment be entered against Defendant Kohl's for the following:

- (1) Actual damages;
- (2) Statutory damages up to \$1,500 per violation;
- (3) Reasonable attorney's fees and costs; and
- (4) Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff demands a trial by jury in this case.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

DATED: October 2, 2014 CRAIG K. PERRY & ASSOCIATES

Craig K. Perry, Esq. Nevada Bar #3786

8010 W. Sahara Ave., Suite 260

Las Vegas, Nevada 89117

(702) 228-4777